1.1 In AIMS, the following information is current and accurate...



Released January 5, 2015, Updated December 15, 2014

2015 EPP ANNUAL REPORT

Except where noted otherwise, sections are unique to the Educator Preparation Program (EPP) and must be completed using EPP data.

Section 1. AIMS Profile

After reviewing and/or updating the Educator Preparation Provider's (EPP's) profile in AIMS, check the box to indicate that the information available is accurate.

	1.1.1 Contact persons	Agree	Disagree		
	1.1.2 EPP characteristics				
	1.1.3 Program listings				
2.1 How many settings during	Program Completers y candidates completed programs that prepared them to w g Academic Year 2013-2014? ric value for each textbox.	ork in prescho	ool through grade 12		
2.1.1 Number of completers in programs leading to initial teacher certification or licensure 2.1.2 Number of completers in programs leading to a degree, endorsement, or some other credential that prepares the holder to serve in P-12 schools (Do not include those completers counted above.)					Commented [HP1]: EPSB will provide this value for comparison purposes. Institutions can use the EPSB value of their own value. The number should be the same as the number provided to Title II.
a. proparos	•	per of program			Commented [HP2]: EPSB will be able to provide this value for comparison purposes in future years, but because they just started collecting data on advanced candidates in January 2014, it is not available for this AY.

2.2 Indicate whether the EPP is currently offering a program or programs leading to initial teacher certification or licensure.
Yes, a program (or programs) leading to initial teacher certification is currently being offered.
☐ No, a program (or programs) leading to initial teacher certification is <u>not</u> currently being offered.
Section 3. Substantive Changes Have any of the following substantive changes occurred at the EPP or institution/organization during Academic Year 2013-2014?
Explanations have a 600 character limit, including spaces.
3.1 Changes in the published mission or objectives of the institution/organization or the EPP Change No Change/ Not Applicable Explanation (if 'Change'):
3.2 The addition of programs of study at a degree or credential level different from those that were offered when most recently accredited
☐ Change ☐ No Change/Not Applicable
Explanation (if 'Change'):
3.3 The addition of courses or programs that represent a significant departure, in terms of either content or delivery, from those that were offered when most recently accredited Change No Change/ Not Applicable
Explanation (if 'Change'):

	offner providers for direct instructional services, including any feach-out agreements
☐ Change	□ No Change/ Not Applicable
Explanation (if 'Cha	nge'):
Any change that m	neans the EPP no longer satisfies accreditation standards or requirements:
	onal accreditation status
☐ Change	□ No Change/ Not Applicable
Explanation (if 'Cha	nge'):
'	
3.6 Change in state	program approval
☐ Change	□ No Change/ Not Applicable
Explanation (if 'Cha	nge'):
`	<u> </u>
ection 4. Display	of Candidate Performance Data
	nonstrates candidate performance data are public-friendly and prominently displayed on
	department of education homepage.
. 9 .	, ,
Type of data provide	d (100 character limit, including spaces)
Link	
(Additional links can b	pe added.)

Commented [HP3]: EPSB:

•Results of state licensure exams

 $\label{lem:https://wd.kyepsb.net/EPSB.WebApps/Dashboard/DashbrdWeb/NewTeacherEducatorDashbrd.aspx?sMaintabName = 10&sReportName=10&sReportType=TransferToXaml1. aspx?sReportType=DataResearch&sReportTitle=DataResearch&sID=1$

Has not been updated yet for the 2013-14 AY.

•Results of employer and/or graduate surveys New Teacher survey:

http://www.kyepsb.net/TestingResearch/Statistics/StateRptCard/2013_2014.asp

•Average GPAs of program completers

https://wd.kyepsb.net/EPSB.WebApps/Dashboard/Dashbr dWeb/NewTeacherEducatorDashbrd.aspx?sMaintabName =4&sReportName=4&sReportType=TransferToProgramR eview1.aspx?sReportType=Traditional&sReportTitle=Ad missions&sID=1

For discussion during data institute:

- •Concerns about accuracy and comprehensibility of the dashboard reports.
- •Need for direct links to individual EPPs

KCEWS:

- •Job placement rates
- •Graduation rates

(Links to be provided by KCEWS at the data institute.)

3

Section 5. Candidate and Program Measures

For each required measure of program impact, program outcome, or consumer information, evidence must be provided for programs leading to initial teacher certification or licensure. CAEP encourages EPPs to provide information on the optional reporting measures as well.

Required reporting measures

- 5.1 Impact on P-12 learning and development
- 5.2 Results of completer surveys
- 5.3 Graduation rates
- 5.4 Ability of completers to meet licensing (certification) and any additional state requirements
- 5.5 Indicators of teaching effectiveness
- 5.6 Results of employer surveys, and including retention and employment milestones
- 5.7 Ability of completers to be hired in education positions for which they have prepared
- 5.8 Student loan default rates and other consumer information

REQUIRED REPORTING MEASURES

5.1 Impact on P-12 learning and development. Report information on <u>candidate</u> performance during pre-service and <u>completer</u> performance during in-service for programs leading to an <u>initial</u> teacher certification or licensure.

Which of the following measures of impact on P-12 student learning is the EPP using and planning to use as evidence?

Record a response for each assessment (row).

Assessments	Data are available	Data are not a The EPP has a plan to collect data in the next two years.	vailable The EPP does not currently have a plan to collect data within the next two years.
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4
5.1.1 Candidate performance during pre-service			
Unit and lesson plans			
Pre-post tests of student learning			
Videos of candidate instruction			
Candidatereflection			
Surveys of P-12 students on candidate performance			
State-adopted assessment(s) (specify)			
State-designed assessment(s) (specify)			
EPP-designed assessment(s) (specify)			
Other (specify)			
5.1.2 Completer performance during in-service			
Student achievement and/or learning models (e.g., value-added modeling)			
EPP-designed case study			
Other (specify)			

esults of com teacher cert	-	veys. Report information on the satisfaction of <u>completers</u> or licensure.	:_of programs	leading to an _
F 2 1 Comm	Agree	Disagree		
5.2.1 Comp				
EPP respond: uation Rates.		, continue to the next question. If the EPP responds "disagr	ee," then cor	ntinue to 5.3
5.2.2 Which	of the fo	llowing descriptions characterize the completer survey(s) c	ıvailable on tl	ne preparation
of the EPP?	(Check a	l that apply.)		
	The cor	npleter provides summary ratings of the EPP and its programs.		
	The cor	npleter provides responses to open-ended questions about the	EPP.	
	The cor	npleter provides a response to questions about their preparation	nin at least one	of the following
	areas:			
	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Content knowledge Instruction and pedagogical content knowledge Teaching diverse P-12 students Teaching P-12 students with diverse needs Classroom management Alignment of teaching with state standards Family and community engagement Assessment of P-12 student learning Other (Specify)		

Commented [HP4]: All EPPs should answer this question as Agree (New Teacher Survey).

		able, after a c		mpletes a prograi	m, when does the EPP	administer its complete	r			
		At the end of the program Between the end of the program and one year after program completion Between one and two years after program completion Between two and three years after program completion Between three and four years after program completion More than four years after program completion								
		e the EPP's ac		s of completer sur	veys and the survey res	sponse levels.				
Survey	/admini EPP	steredby	No access to data	Access to data	Number of completers surveyed	Number of responses received				
	Individ	lual program								
	Institut organi									
	State				-			Comme		
	Other	(specify)						Received		

ented [HP5]: Surveyed: EPSB will provide the of completers surveyed.

Received: The New Teacher survey: http://www.kyepsb.net/TestingResearch/Statistics/StateRptCard/2013_2014.asp

5.2.5 The EPP can demonstrate that	the completer survey is		Agree	Disagree
Reliable (produces consistent results about completer satisfaction) Valid (can make an appropriate inference about completer satisfaction) A measure with a representative sample (demonstrates typical completer responses) Inclusive of stakeholder interests A measure that produces actionable results (provides specific guidance to the EPP for continuous improvement) 5.2.6 The EPP can demonstrate that it has made modifications in its preparation based on completer survey results. 3 Graduation rates. Report information on enrollment and candidate progress in programacher certification or licensure, as of September 1, 2014. hter a numeric value for each textbox. Academic year a candidate was first en AY 2013-2014 AY 2012-2013 AY 2011-2012 AY 20				
Valid (can make an appropria	te inference about complete	er satisfaction)		
•				
Inclusive of stakeholder interest				
	tit has made modifications	s in its preparatio	on	
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ate_progress in p	rograms leading	ı to an i <u>nitial</u>
Enter a numeric value for each textbox.				
	Academic year	a candidatewas	first enrolled	
AY 2013-				AY 2009- 2010
Column 1 Co	olumn 2 Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6
First Time Enrollment. The number of candidate	s who enrolled for the first tim	ne, during a speci	<u>fied academic ye</u>	ar.
enrolled for the first time in a program leading to an initial				
togram leading to diffinitial				

Commented [HP6]: EPSB will provide these values at the data institute.

h					11.5
Progress in AY 2013-2014. The number licensure during AY 2013-2014. List consumer and licensure during AY 2013-2014. List consumer a commended for a initial teacher certification or licensure during AY 2013-2014					<u>certification or</u>
Example: If 15 candidates were recommended an initial teacher certification in AY 2013-2014, the numbers across the row should sum to 15 (2+10+0+2+1).	Two candidates were recommended for an initial teacher certification. They first enrolled in AY 2013-2014.	10 candidates were recommended for an initial teacher certification. They first enrolled in AY 2012-2013.	Zero candidates were recommended for an initial teacher certification. They first enrolled in AY 2011-2012.	Two candidates were recommended for an initial teacher certification. They first enrolled in AY 2010-2011.	One candidate were recommended for an initial teacher certification. The candidate first enrolled in AY 2009-2010.
Number of candidates/completers who were <u>not</u> recommended for an initial teacher certification or licensure Continued in a program Been counseled out of a program Withdrawn from a program					

Commented [HP7]: EPSB will provide this data at the data institute, but wants to discuss the Statement of Eligibility issue. The goal will be to agree on a uniform method of responding to this item.

5.4 Ability of completers to meet I on candidate performance on sta		-	•	-		Report information
5.4.1 Assessment Pass Rates repor	ted to Title II					
·	Number taking test	Average scaled score	Number passing test	Pass rate	⊖ (%)	Statewide av erage pass rate (%)
All program completers, 2012-2013						
All program completers, 2011-2012						
5.4.2 The EPP can demonsi Representative (demo completer performan	Agree	Disagree				
Actionable (provides						
5.4.3 The EPP can demonst based on certification test						

5.5 Indicators of teaching effectiveness. Report information on the availability of measures of teaching effectiveness during in-service for <u>completers</u> of programs leading to an <u>initial</u> teacher certification or licensure.

For which of the following measures of teaching effectiveness does the EPP have data or plan to collect data? Record a response for each assessment (row).

		Data are not a	ıvailable
Assessments	Data are available	The EPP has a plan to collect data in the next two years.	The EPP does not currently have a plan to collect data within the next two years.
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4
Completer performance during in-service			
Surveys of P-12 students on completer performance	No	KCEWS will provide beginning in 2016.	
School district-level teacher evaluation	No	KCEWS will provide beginning in 2016.	
Employer observations	No	KCEWS will provide beginning in 2016.	
Employer surveys	Varies by EPP		
EPP-designed case study			
Other (specify)			

8.6 Results of employer surveys, including retention and employment milestones. Report information on the availability of employer satisfaction data for program completes employed by school districts.

Agree Disagree 5.6.1 Employer survey results are available to the EPP.

If the EPP responds "agree", continue to the next question. If the EPP responds "disagree," then continue to 5.7 Ability of completers to be hired in education positions for which they have prepared.

Commented [HP8]: This is the KTIP data, which is not available to EPPs, but will be available to KCEWS in the future.

Commented [HP9]: Provided by EPP.

Note. KCEWS will talk about these data at the data institute—what to anticipate them being able to provide in 2016.

Commented [HP10]: This section will be the EPP's responsibility this year, but plans are in the works to do some/all of this on the state level at some point in the future.

5.6.2 Whic	h of the fol	lowing descriptior	ns characterize th	e employer survey(s) av	vailable? (Check all that							
apply.)												
	The emp	The employer provides overall summary ratings of the completer.										
	The employer provides responses to open-ended questions about the completer.											
	The emp	loyer provides a res	ponse to questions	about the completer's pr	eparation in at least one of the							
	following	following areas:										
5.6.3 Indico	 Collaboration with school-based colleagues and staff Alignment of teaching with state standards Family and community engagement Content/subject matter Instructional and pedagogical content knowledge Development of a safe learning environment Assessment of P-12 student learning Teaching P-12 students with diverse needs Teaching diverse P-12 students Other (Specify) 											
Record a re	sponse for e	each row.										
Record a response for each i Survey administered by Err		No access to data	Access to data	Number of completers surveyed	Number of responses received							
	ution or anization											
Scho	ool District											
State	Э											

Handout for the KAC	E/EPSB/I	KCEWS Data Institu	ite, April 2, 201	5, EPSB, Frankfort, Ken	tucky					
Accredit	tion agen	су 🗆]			
Other (sp	ecify)]			
		nstrate that the em			Agree	Disagre	ee			
Reliable (produces (consistent results abo	out employer sa	tisfaction)						
Valid (ca	make an	appropriate inferer	nce about empl	oyer satisfaction)						
A measure with a representative sample (demonstrates typical employer responses)										
Inclusive	f stakehol	Iderinterests								
A measur EPP for co	A measure that produces actionable results (provides specific guidance to the EPP for continuous improvement)									
5.6.5 The EPP can demonstrate that it has made modifications in its preparation based on employer survey results.										
.7 Ability of completers to be hired in education positions for which they have prepared. Report on the availability f employment information for completers of programs leading to an initial teacher licensure or certification, as of eptember 1, 2014.										
5.7.1 The EPP ha	s attemp	ted to collect date	a on the emplo	pyment status of comp		Agree	Disagree		TEP has been working we should check agree.	rith

If the EPP responds "agree", continue to the next question. If the EPP responds "disagree," then continue to 5.8.

5 7 0 W/b cst o	tratagies have the FPR used to collect data? (Check all that manh.)			
5.7.2 What's	trategies have the EPP used to collect data? (Check all that apply.) Completer survey			
	Employer survey			
	Institutional or organizational department (e.g., Alumni Office) (specify)			
	Collaboration with other EPPs			
	Collaboration with school districts			Commented [HP12]: EPPs may wish to check other
	Collaboration with state education departments			boxes. But, at a minimum they should check Collaboration with school districts.
	Contracted a consultant or organization	•	`	Commented [HP13]: All EPPs should check this box
	Other (specify)			because they work with EPSB and KCEWS.
5.7.3 What c	hallenges have the EPP encountered when collecting data? (Check all that	t apply.)		
	Low response rates	, ,		
	Inaccurate reporting of employment status			
	Maintaining current candidate records			
	Privacy issues			
	Insufficient resources			
	Other (specify)			
	Agre	e Disagree		Commented [HP14]: All EPPs should check Agree.
5.7.4 The EPI	Phas access to information on the employment status of completers. $lacktriangle$			
If the EPP responds	"agree", continue to the next question. If the EPP responds "disagree," ther	n continue to 5.8		
·	It rates and other consumer information.			
		4.4		
		14		

5.7.5 The El sources? (C		ess to information at apply.)	on on the emp	loyment status	of completers	from which of	the following
	Self-report from the completer						
	Third party: o School district o State department (specify)						
	Other (sp	ecify)					
		o's available int pleted their pro				employment s	status of
	Total		Numbero	f completers with	n each employn	nent status	
Year of program completion	number of complete rs	Employed in a position for which they were prepared	Employed in an education position outside of their preparation	Enrolled in higher education	Employed outside of the education field	Not employed	Employment status unknown
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8
AY 2013- 2014 Example: If 60 candidates completed their program in AY 2013-2014, the numbers across the row should sum to 60 (17+9+8+4+2+20)	60	17	9	8	4	2	20

Commented [HP15]: All EPPs should check this item and specify KCEWS workforce data as the source.

Commented [HP16]: KCEWS will provide this data at the data institute.

Commented [HP17]: The Not Employed column should always be left blank because we cannot say who might be employed out-of-state.

JOIC	d a response for each row.			
	Consumerinformation	No access to data	Access to data	Publidy displayed data (insert link)
	3-year student loan default rate			
	Average cost of attendance	<u></u>		
	Average beginning salary of a program completer			
	Placement patterns of completers			
	Other (specify)			

Commented [HP18]: National Student Loan Data System (NSLDS)

- •Restricted web site accessible only by your institution's financial aid office.
- •School portfolio report. Includes a delinquency date if the borrower is delinquent.
- •Can use Excel wizard to export to a format that can be imported to Excel, Access, etc.
- •School portfolio report file layout is available from https://ifap.ed.gov/ifap/byNSLDSType.jsp?type=NSLDS %20Record%20Layouts.
- The latest version (6/30/2014) is available at https://ifap.ed.gov/nsldsmaterials/063014NSLDSSchoolPortfolioFileLayoutSCHPR1CSV.html

Commented [HP19]: Use the link to your institution's "Net Price Calculator."

Commented [HP20]: We encourage all EPPs to use this link.

 $\frac{http://education.ky.gov/districts/finrept/pages/school%20district%20personnel%20information.aspx}{}$

Commented [HP21]: KCEWS will provide maps showing placement patterns. These maps will be maintained by them and available online. KCEWS will provide EPPs with a link to their map at the data institute.

Section 7. Accreditation Pathway

Section VII will be formatted according to your accreditation pathway (i.e., Continuous Improvement, Inquiry Brief, or Transformation Initiative).

Continuous Improvement.	Summarize progress toward target level performance on the standard(s)	selected.	(3000
character limit)			

Inquiry Brief. Update Appendix E to confirm the categories of evidence the faculty members rely on and have available to support their claims that candidates know their subjects, know pedagogy, and can teach in an effective and caring manner. The update should also note any new categories of evidence the faculty plans to collect.

A. Items under each category of Appendix E are examples. Programs may have more or different evidence.

Reasons have a 200 character limit, including spaces.

Type of Evidence	Available ar Relied on	nd in the Brief ¹ Not Relied on	Not available and not in the Brief For future use Not for future use		Reason for your selection
Grades					
Candidate grades and gro	ade point avera	ges			
Scores on standardized tests					
Candidate scores on stand	dardized license	or board examination	S		
Candidate scores on under and aptitude	ergraduate and,	/or graduate admission □	tests of subjec	t matter knowledge	

¹ Assessment results related to TEAC *Quality Principle I* that the program faculty uses elsewhere must be included in the *Brief.* Evidence that is reported to the institution or state licensing authorities, or alluded to in publications, Web sites, catalogs, and the like must be included in the *Brief.* Therefore, Title II results, grades (if they are used for graduation, transfer, admission), admission test results (if they are used), hiring rates (if they are reported elsewhere) would all be included in the *Brief.*

	Standardized scores and gai	ns of the comp	leters' own students			
Rating:	•			Ц		
amig.	Ratings of portfolios of acade	emic and clinic	al accomplishments			
	Third-party rating of program	's students				
	Ratings of in-service, clinical,	and PDS teach	ing			
	Ratings, by cooperating tead samples	cher and colleg	ge / university superviso	ors, of practice t	eachers' work	
Rates	Rates of completion of cours	es and progran		П		
	Completers' career retention	u rates		Ш		
	Completers' job placement r	rates				
	Rates of completers' profession	onal advanced	l study			
	Rates of completers' leaders	hip roles				
	Rates of graduates' professio	nal service acti	vities			

Cases	itudies and alumni competend Evaluations of completers by		le.			
	Evaluations of Completers by					
	Completer self-assessment o	ftheir accompl	ishments			
	Third-party professional reco	gnition of comp	oleters (e.g., NBPTS)			
	Employers' evaluations of the	e program's co	mpleters			
	Completers' authoring of tex	tbooks, curricul	um materials, etc.			
	Case studies of completers' of	own students' le	earning and accomplis	hment		
В.	Provide an update of the	program's da	ta spreadsheet(s) or	data tables re	elated to the program	ı's claims.
	+ Upload file					
	ormation Initiative. Summariz character limit)	ze the status of	the transformation initio	ative and progi	ress made toward meet	ing its goals.

Section 8. Data Ownership & Preparer's Authorization

By checking the box below, I indicate that I understand and agree with the below statement.
Understand and agree with the statement below.
"I understand that all the information that is provided to CAEP from EPPs seeking initial accreditation, going through accreditation, or having completed the accreditation process is considered the property of CAEP and may be used for training, research, and data review. CAEP reserves the right to compile and issue data, in aggregate, derived from accreditation documents."
By checking the box below, I indicate that I am authorized by the EPP to complete the 2015 EPP Annual Report.
☐ I am authorized to complete this report.
Report Preparer's Information Name: Position: Phone: Email: